Computational Logic

Prolog Programming Basics

Overview

1. Using unification
2. Data structures
3. Recursion, backtracking, and search
4. Control of execution

Role of Unification in Execution

- As mentioned before, unification used to *access data* and *give values to variables*.
  Example: Consider query `?- animal(A), named(A,Name).` with:

  ```
  animal(dog(barry)).
  named(dog(Name),Name).
  ```

  Execution of `animal(A)` assigns a (ground) value to `A`.
  Execution of `named(A,Name)` assigns a (ground) value to `Name` by accessing the data in the subfield of the `dog/1` structure.
- Also, unification is used to *pass parameters* in procedure calls and to *return values* upon procedure exit.

`?- animal(A), named(A,Name)`	returns a value upon exit of `animal(A)`
`?- named(dog(barry),Name)`	passes a value in first argument of call to `named/2`
`Name = barry`	returns a value in second argument on exit of `named/2`

Modes

- In fact, argument positions are not fixed a priory to be input or output.
  Example: Consider query `?- pet(spot).` vs. `?- pet(X).`
- Upon a call to a procedure, any argument may be ground, free, or partially instantiated.
- Thus, procedures can be used in different **modes**
  (different sets of arguments are input or output in each mode).
  Example: Consider the following queries:

Logical Equivalence: Reversible Computations

- The fact that predicates can be called in any mode is a direct consequence of their logical nature.
  - ◇ By definition: `plus(X,Y,Z)` $\Leftrightarrow$ `Z` $=$ `X` $+$ `Y` (math. addition)
  - ◇ From mathematics: `Z` $=$ `X` $+$ `Y` $\Leftrightarrow$ `X` $=$ `Z` $-$ `Y` $\Leftrightarrow$ `Y` $=$ `Z` $-$ `X`
  - ◇ Thus: `plus(X,Y,Z)` $\Leftrightarrow$ `X` $=$ `Z` $-$ `Y` $\Leftrightarrow$ `Y` $=$ `Z` $-$ `X`
- Computationally:

Mathematical Meaning	Functional Characterization	Predicate Mode	(Abused) Sample Query	Answer
`Z` $=$ `X` $+$ `Y`	`Z = add(X,Y)`	`plus(+,+,-)`	`?- plus(2,1,Z).`	`Z = 3`
`X` $=$ `Z` $-$ `Y`	`X = sub(Z,Y)`	`plus(-,+,+)`	`?- plus(X,1,3).`	`X = 2`
`Y` $=$ `Z` $-$ `X`	`Y = sub(Z,X)`	`plus(+,-,+)`	`?- plus(2,Y,3).`	`Y = 1`

Accessing Data

- Accesing subfields of *records*:

  Example:

```
day(date(Day,_Month,_Year),Day).
month(date(_Day,Month,_Year),Month).
year(date(_Day,_Month,Year),Year).
```

- Naming subfields:

  Example:

Accessing Data (Contd.)

- Initializing variables:

  Example: `?- init(X), ...`

  ```
  init(date(9,6,2011)).
  ```

- Comparing values:

  Example: `?- init_1(X), init_2(Y), equal(X,Y).`

  ```
  equal(X,X).
  ```

  or simply: `?- init_1(X), init_2(X).`

Structured Data and Data Abstraction (and the '=' Predicate)

- *Data structures* are created using (complex) terms.
- Structuring data is important:

  ```
  course(complog,wed,18,30,20,30,'F.','Bueno',new,5102).
  ```

- When is the Computational Logic course?

  ```
  ?- course(complog,Day,StartH,StartM,FinishH,FinishM,C,D,E,F).
  ```

- Structured version:

  ```
  course(complog,Time,Lecturer, Location) :-
      Time = t(wed,18:30,20:30),
      Lecturer = lect('F.','Bueno'),
  ```

Structured Data and Data Abstraction (and The Anonymous Variable)

- Given:

```
course(complog,Time,Lecturer, Location) :-
        Time = t(wed,18:30,20:30),
        Lecturer = lect('F.','Bueno'),
        Location = loc(new,5102).
```

- When is the Computational Logic course?

```
?- course(complog,Time, A, B).
```

has solution:

```
{Time=t(wed,18:30,20:30), A=lect('F.','Bueno'), B=loc(new,5102)}
```

- Using the *anonymous variable* ("_"):

```
?- course(complog,Time, _, _).
```

has solution:

```
{Time=t(wed,18:30,20:30)}
```


Data Structures

- Structures in logic programs are basically *records*.
- Arrays are basically records with access by index.

  Example:

```
index(1,array(X,_,_,...),X).
index(2,array(_,X,_,...),X).
index(3,array(_,_,X,...),X).
...
```

  (Prolog provides a predefined predicate to do this)

Lists

- Binary structure: first argument is *element*, second argument is *rest* of the list.
- We need:
  - a constant symbol: the empty list denoted by the *constant* [ ]
  - a functor of arity 2: traditionally the dot "." (which is overloaded).
- Syntactic sugar: the term .(X,Y) is denoted by [X|Y] (X is the *head*, Y is the *tail*).

Formal object	*Cons pair syntax*	*Element syntax*
.(a,[ ])	[a|[ ]]	`[a]`
.(a,.(b,[ ]))	[a|[b|[ ]]]	`[a,b]`
.(a,.(b,.(c,[ ])))	[a|[b|[c|[ ]]]]	`[a,b,c]`
.(a,X)	[a|X]	`[a|X]`
.(a,.(b,X))	[a|[b|X]]	`[a,b|X]`

- Note that:
  `[a,b]` and `[a|X]` unify with {`X = [b]`}
  `[a]` and `[a,b|X]` do not unify
  `[a]` and `[a|X]` unify with {`X = [ ]`}
  `[ ]` and `[X]` do not unify

Strings (Lists of codes) (and Comments)

- Strings (of characters): in between `"..."`.
  If `"` belongs to the string then escape it (duplicate it).
  Examples: `"Prolog"` `"This is a ""string"""`
- Simply syntactic sugar: equivalent to the corresponding list of ASCII character codes.
  `"Prolog"` ≡ `[80,114,111,108,111,103]`

Lists (member)

- `member(X,Y)` iff `X` is a *member* of list `Y`.
- By generalization:

```
member(a,[a]).  member(b,[b]).  etc.          ⇒ member(X,[X]).
member(a,[a,c]).  member(b,[b,d]).  etc.      ⇒ member(X,[X,Y]).
member(a,[a,c,d]).  member(b,[b,d,l]).etc.    ⇒ member(X,[X,Y,Z]).
```

```
⇒ member(X,[X|Y]).
```

```
member(a,[c,a]), member(b,[d,b]).  etc.       ⇒ member(X,[Y,X]).
member(a,[c,d,a]).  member(b,[s,t,b]).  etc.  ⇒ member(X,[Y,Z,X]).
```

```
⇒ member(X,[Y|Z]) :- member(X,Z).
```

- Resulting definition:

```
member(X,[X|_]).
member(X,[_|T]) :- member(X,T).
```


Lists (member) (Contd.)

- Resulting definition:

```
member(X,[X|_]).
member(X,[_|T]) :- member(X,T).
```

- Uses of member(X,Y):
  - ◇ checking whether an element is in a list: `?- member(b,[a,b,c]).`
  - ◇ finding an element in a list: `?- member(X,[a,b,c]).`
  - ◇ finding a list containing an element: `?- member(a,Y).`

Lists (append)

- Concatenation of lists: `append(X,Y,Z)` iff `Z = X.Y`
  (“.” is an operator for list concatenation)
- By generalization (recurring on the first argument):
  - Base case:
    `append([],[a],[a]).  append([],[a,b],[a,b]).  etc.`
    $\Rightarrow$ `append([],Ys,Ys).`
  - Rest of cases (first step):
    `append([a],[b],[a,b]).`
    `append([a],[b,c],[a,b,c]).  etc.`
    $\Rightarrow$ `append([X],Ys,[X|Ys]).`
    `append([a,b],[c],[a,b,c]).`
    `append([a,b],[c,d],[a,b,c,d]).  etc.`
    $\Rightarrow$ `append([X,Z],Ys,[X,Z|Ys]).`

    This is still infinite $\rightarrow$ we need to generalize more.

Lists (append) (Contd.)

- Second generalization:
  `append([X],Ys,[X|Ys]).`
  `append([X,Z],Ys,[X,Z|Ys]).`
  `append([X,Z,W],Ys,[X,Z,W|Ys]).`
  $\Rightarrow$ `append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :- append(Xs,Ys,Zs).`
- So, we have:
  `append([],Ys,Ys).`
  `append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) :- append(Xs,Ys,Zs).`

Recursion and Induction

- Recursion is logical induction.
- `append(Xs,Ys,Zs)` by induction:
  (on one of the arguments, e.g. `Xs`)
  - ◇ Base: `Xs=[]`
    - * `Zs=Xs.Ys` if `Zs=Ys`
  - ◇ Hypothesis: `Xs=[X|Xs1]` and
    we already have `Zs1=Xs1.Ys1`
  - ◇ Step: `Xs=[X|Xs1]` and
    we would have `Zs=Xs.Ys` if:
    - * `Ys=Ys1`
    - * `Zs=[X|Zs1]`
- Resulting definition:

```
append([],Ys,Ys).
append([X|Xs1],Ys,[X|Zs1]) :- append(Xs1,Ys,Zs1).
```


Lists (reverse)

- `reverse(Xs,Ys)`: Ys is the list obtained by reversing the elements in the list Xs
- Thinking computationally:
  - ◇ It is clear that we will need to traverse the list Xs
  - ◇ For each element X of Xs, we must put X at the end of the rest of the Xs list already reversed:

```
reverse([X|Xs],Ys) :-
    reverse(Xs,Zs),
    append(Zs,[X],Ys).
```

Lists (reverse) (and Accumulation Parameters)

- As defined, reverse(Xs,Ys) is very inefficient.
  Another possible definition:

```
reverse(Xs,Ys) :- reverse(Xs,[],Ys).

reverse([],Ys,Ys).
reverse([X|Xs],Acc,Ys) :- reverse(Xs,[X|Acc],Ys).
```

- Find the differences in terms of efficiency between the two definitions.

Lists (Exercises)

- Define `prefix(X,Y)` : the list `X` is a prefix of the list `Y`, e.g.
  `prefix([a, b], [a, b, c, d])`
- Define `suffix(X,Y)` : the list `X` is a suffix of the list `Y`.
- Define `sublist(X,Y)` : the elements of list `X` occur within list `Y` in the same order and contiguous.
- Define `sublist(X,Y)` : the elements of list `X` occur within list `Y` in the same order (maybe not contiguous).
- Define `sublist(X,Y)` : the elements of list `X` occur within list `Y` (maybe not in the

Incomplete Data Structures

- Example – *difference lists*:
  - A pair `X-Y` where `X` is an open-ended list finished in `Y`, which is a free variable.
    Example: `[1,2,3,4|X]-X`
    (actually, the pair is usually not explicit, instead there is a couple of arguments that is acting as a difference list)
  - Allows us to keep a pointer to the end of the list.
  - Allows appending in constant time:

    ```
    append_dl(X-Y,Y-Z,X-Z).
    ```

    (actually, no call to `append_dl` is normally necessary)
  - But can only be done once...
- Also difference trees, open-ended lists and trees, dictionaries, queues, ...

Standard qsort (using append)

```
qsort([],[]).
qsort([X|L],SL) :-
        partition(L,X,Left,Right),
        qsort(Left,SLeft),
        qsort(Right,SRight),
        append(SLeft,[X|SRight],SL).
```

qsort w/Difference Lists (no append!)

- First list is normal list, second is built as a difference list.

```
dlqsort(L,SL) :- dlqsort_(L,SL,[]).

dlqsort_([],R,R).
dlqsort_([X|L],SL,R) :-
        partition(L,X,Left,Right),
        dlqsort_(Left,SL,[X|SR]),
        dlqsort_(Right,SR,R).



% Partition is the same as before.
```


Backtracking and Search

- Backtracking allows exploring the different execution paths until a solution is found.
- Execution of a query is in a fact a search of a solution to the query.



Control of Search in Prolog

The programmer has at least three important ways of *controlling execution*:

1 The *ordering of literals* in the body of a clause:

- Profound effect on the size of the computation (in the limit, on termination).
  Compare executing `p(X), q(X,Y)` with executing `q(X,Y), p(X)` with:

```
p(X):- X = 4.        q(X, Y):- X = 1, Y = a, ...
p(X):- X = 5.        q(X, Y):- X = 2, Y = b, ...
                     q(X, Y):- X = 4, Y = c, ...
                     q(X, Y):- X = 4, Y = d, ...
```

  `p(X), q(X,Y)` more efficient: execution of `p/2` reduces the choices of `q/2`.

- Note that optimal order depends on the variable instantiation mode:
  E.g., if `X=5` then `q(X,Y), p(X)` is better than `p(X), q(X,Y)`.

Control of Search in Prolog (Contd.)

2 The *ordering of clauses* in a predicate:

- Affects the order in which solutions are generated.
  E.g., in the previous example we get:
  {X=4,Y=c} as the first solution and {X=4,Y=d} as the second.
  If we reorder `q/2`:

```
p(X):- X = 4.        q(X, Y):- X = 4, Y = d, ...
p(X):- X = 5.        q(X, Y):- X = 4, Y = c. ...
                     q(X, Y):- X = 2, Y = b, ...
                     q(X, Y):- X = 1, Y = a, ...
```

Generate-and-Test Programs

- Backtracking allows to program in such a way that execution checks conditions on possible candidates for a solution.
- Generate–and–test: generate candidates, then test conditions to be a solution.
- *Example*: sorting lists
  `sort(X,Y)` $\Leftrightarrow$ `Y` is the list resulting from sorting list `X` in ascending order
  $\Leftrightarrow$ list `Y` contains, in ascending order, the same elements than list `X`
  $\Leftrightarrow$ list `Y` is a permutation of list `X` with elements in ascending order
- Generate: permutations.
  Test: ascending order

```
sort(X,Y):-
        permutation(X,Y),
        ascending_order(Y).
```


Generate-and-Test Programs (II)

- *Example*: the N-queens problem
  place N queens in an NxN chess board so that they do not attack each other
  - ◇ Generate: NxN boards with N queens on them
  - ◇ Test: the queens on the board do not attack each other

```
queens(N,Board):-
        chess_board(N,Board),
        do_not_attack(Board).
```

Infinite Failure

- Generate-and-test may transform failure into infinite failure by generating infinite possibilities none of which satisfy the test.
- *Example*: `?- reverse([1,2,3],[1,2,3|_]).` with program:

```
reverse([],[]).
reverse([X|Xs],Ys) :-
      append(Zs,[X],Ys),
      reverse(Xs,Zs).
```

- It does not happen with program:

```
reverse([],[]).
reverse([X|Xs],Ys) :-
      reverse(Xs,Zs),
      append(Zs,[X],Ys).
```

- But it happens again now for query: `?- reverse([1,2,3|_],[1,2,3]).`

Pruning Operator: Cut

- A "cut" (predicate `!/0`) commits Prolog to all the choices made since the parent goal was unified with the head of the clause in which the cut appears.
- Thus, it *prunes*:
  - ◇ all clauses below the clause in which the cut appears, and
  - ◇ all alternative solutions to the goals in the clause to the left of the cut.

  But it does not affect the search in the goals to the right of the cut.

```
s(a).          p(X,Y):- 1(X), ...          r(a).
```

Pruning Operator: Cut (Contd.)

```
s(a).          p(X,Y):- l(X), ...          r(a).
s(b).          p(X,Y):- r(X), !, ...       r(b).
               p(X,Y):- m(X), ...
```



Types of Cut

- *White* cuts: do not discard solutions.

```
max(X,Y,X):- X > Y, !.
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y.
```

They affect neither completeness nor correctness – use them freely.
(In many cases the system "introduces" them automatically.)

- *Green* cuts: discard correct solutions which are not needed.

```
address(X,Add):- home_address(X,Add), !.
```

Types of Cut (Contd.)

- *Red* cuts: discard solutions which are not correct according to the intended meaning.
  - Example:

    ```
    max(X,Y,X):- X > Y, !.
    max(X,Y,Y).
    ```

    wrong answers to, e.g., `?- max(5, 2, 2).`
  - Example:

    ```
    days_in_year(X,366):- leap_year(X), !.
    days_in_year(X,365).
    ```

    wrong answers to, e.g., `?- days_in_year(a, D).`

  Red cuts affect completeness and one can no longer rely on the strict declarative interpretation of the program for reasoning about correctness – avoid when possible.

Using the Cut

- Use the cut when you want to avoid:
  - unnecessary backtracking (white cuts)
  - unnecessary (but correct) solutions (green cuts)
- Do NOT use it to avoid:
  - unwanted (incorrect) solutions (red cuts)
- Use it, with a lot of care:
  - to program if-then-else (red cuts)
  - be careful: it restricts the possible execution modes

Summary

- All that there is in logic programs is recursion and unification.
  (And backtracking.)
- Terms allow you to define any data structure and unification to manipulate it.
- Recursion allows you to program other control structures (with some help).
- Backtracking gives you the possibility to program search.
- Ordering of clauses, ordering of goals, and the cut are the only means to control execution.
- When designing programs, you can think of recursion in several ways.

Learning to Compose Recursive Programs

- By induction (as in the previous examples): elegant, but generally difficult – not the way most people do it.
- By generalization: State first the base case(s), and then think about the general recursive case(s).
- By construction (computationally): Think of recursion as a traversal that constructs some result.
- Sometimes it helps to compose programs with a given use in mind (e.g., forwards execution –"think computationally"), but then:

Learning to Compose Recursive Programs (Coda)

- Global top-down design approach:
  - state the general problem
  - break it down into subproblems
  - solve the pieces
- To some extent it is a simple question of practice...